

PROJECT SILO

SILVER PEAKS / LOCHBUIE / WELD COUNTY

Brighton is the site's land-use decision maker. Nearby communities must remain visible in the review. [R1]

Tuesday, October 6, 2026 | 6:00 PM Mountain Time

Brighton City Hall, First Floor Council Chambers
500 S. Fourth Avenue, Brighton, CO 80601. [R2, E03]

Prepared Wednesday, September 30, 2026. This is a dated addendum and assembly guide for the existing October 6 fact packet. It does not replace the historical record. Council set: pages 1-4. Neighborhood handout: page 5. Organizer plan: page 6.

Agenda status - unresolved

The official Agenda Center retrieved September 30 listed September 15 as its latest Council agenda. An October 6 agenda was not located there. The meeting is the priority public date; a Project Silo hearing, moratorium vote, item number and speaking limit are **not yet confirmed**. Recheck the agenda and any linked packet before distribution and attendance. [E04]

What the primary project records establish

- 1. A concept, not a final approved design.** The Silo concept sheet identifies land in Section 34, T1N, R66W, Weld County. It depicts data-center areas, a switching station, detention and roadways, and expressly says the design may change. [E01]
- 2. A documented Brighton review process.** The July 31, 2025 PAC comments identify a July 23 conference and a Planned Development then in review, followed by subdivision, final plat/development agreement and site-plan steps. This is historical status, not proof of today's approvals. [E02, pp. 2-3]
- 3. Studies and infrastructure questions were identified.** PAC comments call for traffic, drainage and water-resource work and further discussion of adjacent water and sewer utilities. They do not establish final demand, capacity, impacts or cost allocation. [E02, pp. 4, 6-9]

Requested Council response

Publish the proposed moratorium text and explain its treatment of Project Silo; identify the document and date behind any claimed vested right; and provide a dated application-and-study status table with responsible agencies and public source links. If an answer is unknown, say so and identify what will establish it.

Data Center Accountability Project (DCAP) | datacenteraccountability@pm.me
Independent community research and public-records project; not a government agency.

Four separate legal situations

Carry each jurisdiction separately. A county location does not itself identify the land-use authority; a proposed pause is not an adopted ordinance; another project's approval is not Project Silo's approval.

Jurisdiction	Supported status and limits
Colorado statewide	Search finding, dated September 29: DCAP's case record says no statewide data-center moratorium was located in the official legislative record it reviewed. This is not proof that no other state action exists. HB26-1030 and SB26-102 were postponed indefinitely May 7 and May 11, respectively; both official bill histories were rechecked September 30. Their failure does not create a statewide pause. [R1, E05-E06]
Town of Lochbuie	Adoption corroborated by reporting: Rocky Mountain PBS reports a five-year pause approved July 21, 2026, covering town acceptance/review of new or expanded data-center applications. The enacted ordinance, number, effective date, exceptions and precise scope have not been inspected for this addendum. Do not represent it as a Brighton or statewide moratorium. [E07]
City of Brighton	Proposed; adoption unverified: BizWest's September 24 report describes a proposed 90-day pause. DCAP had not verified adoption as of September 29; this review has not obtained an adopted ordinance. Applicability to Silo, pending applications, exemptions, processing and effective dates remain open. The missing October 6 agenda does not prove adoption or non-adoption. [R1, E04, E08]
Weld County	Separate zoning record: County guidance identifies Ordinance 2026-01 and says county zoning applies outside incorporated cities and towns. It describes industrial-zone review routes. Do not apply that county zoning framework to the Brighton site solely because the land is in Weld County. [E09]

Separate project: Global AI near Windsor

Weld County confirms approval of USR26-0019 on September 9, 2026, for Global AI (Colorado), LLC. The DCAP case record cites a separate notice stating a three-year vested-rights period. That notice returned an access error on September 30, so the exact vesting language remains **not reverified in this addendum**. The approval concerns Global AI, and does not establish rights for Project Silo. [R1, E10-E11]

Question for Brighton: If the City considers any Silo right vested, identify the project-specific approval or agreement, legal basis, date, duration and scope. Do not assume Silo either has or lacks vested rights.

Questions requiring records

These are requests for answers, not factual allegations. Keep existing Council question IDs and CORA references. Attach returned documents to those records; do not start a competing tracker.

Priority	Document-supported answer requested
1 Moratorium	Is an ordinance adopted, scheduled or still being drafted? Provide the complete text, amendments, vote record, effective date, duration and all exclusions. Explain separately how each Silo application will be handled. Cross-reference existing C3 moratorium questions.
2 Rights and approvals	List Silo application numbers; submission, completeness and decision dates; current status; next action; and the precise record relied on for any vested-rights or grandfathering position. Supply the approval, agreement and notice, if any.
3 Water and drainage	Identify cooling method, average/peak/annual water demand, raw-water dedication, wastewater receiver and capacity, drainage design and cross-boundary coordination. Provide studies and agency responses; do not substitute generic data-center consumption estimates.
4 Power, fiber and roads	Identify the actual service/interconnection requests, proposed electrical demand, infrastructure routes and responsible providers; traffic/heavy-haul review; and allocation of construction and operating costs. General utility territory or business relationships are not project-specific proof.
5 Neighborhood effects	Provide acoustic and lighting analyses, generator/fuel and battery plans, fire/HazMat review, and a sourced map measuring proposed equipment/buildings against homes and schools. Do not present concept setbacks as measured distances to residents.
6 Public commitments	Identify proposed or executed incentives, reimbursements, fee waivers, utility/road agreements and alternative-site analysis. Separate a proposal or discussion from an approved benefit or binding commitment.

Existing records request - follow up, do not duplicate

REQ-001 / R000429-092326: The current request record logs submission September 23, 2026, with status "Submitted / processing" and a September 30 follow-up date. Its September 29 scope includes moratorium drafts, application status, vesting documents and intergovernmental communications. The follow-up date is a tracking date, not a newly calculated legal deadline. [R3]

Compare any production with REQ-001 and the existing targeted Brighton/Weld follow-ups. Log receipt, omissions, cited withholding grounds, next action and source path. Do not call a draft sent, or an unarchived answer nonexistent.

Source packet index

Evidence IDs below belong to this addendum. Preserve original filenames, dates and page numbers. Retain complete originals once in the case archive; distribute this index and relevant excerpts instead of copying the entire archive.

E01 | Silo_Concept_Plan_2025-06-27.pdf

Archive primary; reviewed September 30. PDF page 1; drawing sheet 3 of 14. Draft/concept limitations and site identification.

E02 | PAC_Comment_Letter_01_7.31.25_R.pdf

Archive primary; reviewed September 30. Printed pp. 2-4, 6-9. Conference/status, sequencing, traffic, water, sewer and preliminary nature.

E03 | Brighton City Council / public involvement

Official; rechecked September 30. Regular meeting schedule, address, comment procedure and interpreter deadline.

E04 | Brighton Agenda Center

Official; retrieved September 30. Latest displayed Council agenda: September 15. October 6 agenda and packet remain to be added.

E05-06 | HB26-1030 / SB26-102

Official bill histories; rechecked September 30. Indefinite postponement May 7 / May 11. Not an exhaustive statewide-action search.

E07 | RMPBS: Lochbuie moratorium. July 22

Secondary reporting; rechecked September 30. Supports July 21 adoption; obtain enacted ordinance for exact legal terms.

E08 | BizWest: proposed Brighton pause. September 24

Secondary; public introduction rechecked September 30. Supports proposal, not adoption; full article is subscriber-only.

E09 | Weld County: long-range planning

Official; rechecked September 30. Ordinance 2026-01 summary and unincorporated jurisdiction limitation.

E10 | Weld County: Global AI permit approval

Official; rechecked September 30. September 9 USR26-0019 approval; separate project near Windsor.

E11 | Weld County: USR26-0019 notice

Primary source cited by R1; access returned 403 September 30. Three-year vesting text carried only as a case-record finding pending direct recheck.

Before attaching primary exhibits

Use E01 and the cited E02 pages from existing originals. Add the October 6 agenda/staff packet and Brighton ordinance when available. Obtain the enacted Lochbuie ordinance and accessible E11 notice before using their exact legal provisions. These missing exhibits are not represented as attached or verified.

SILVER PEAKS. LOCHBUIE. WELD COUNTY.

Project Silo is a Brighton land-use matter. Our neighboring communities belong in the conversation.

Next priority public meeting

Tuesday, October 6, 2026, at 6:00 PM

Brighton City Hall, First Floor Council Chambers
500 S. Fourth Avenue, Brighton, CO 80601. [R2, E03]

Check before you go: The October 6 agenda was not located in the Agenda Center retrieved September 30. We cannot yet confirm a Project Silo hearing or moratorium vote. [Check the official Council agenda.](#) [E04]

What we know / what we are asking

The project archive contains a draft Silo concept plan and Brighton review comments requesting traffic, drainage and water-resource work. Final demand, neighborhood impacts and infrastructure costs are not established by those documents. We are asking for written answers and the supporting studies. [E01-E02]

Lochbuie's five-year moratorium and Brighton's proposed 90-day pause are separate actions. Do not assume Lochbuie's pause stops a project reviewed by Brighton. Brighton's adoption and Silo applicability remain unverified. [E07-E08, R1]

Participate

For a subject not on the agenda, Brighton instructs speakers to complete the front-desk form and give it to the Clerk before the meeting. For an agenda item, follow the Mayor's invitation for comment. Prepared statements may be handed to the Clerk for Council distribution when called. Confirm the final agenda and any specific rules. [E03]

Need an interpreter? Brighton's posted deadline is 9:00 AM on the preceding Thursday: **October 1** for this meeting. Contact ADACoordinator@brightonco.gov or 303-655-2096. Ask about accessibility needs directly. [E03]

Stay connected

DCAP / Case 001: Project Silo

datacenteraccountability@pm.me

dcap.datacenteraccountability.workers.dev

Sources: E01-E08 in the accompanying index. Meeting date comes from the current action room and existing fact packet, consistent with the City's regular schedule. DCAP is independent of all governments, candidates and developers.

Reuse and distribution plan

Existing asset	Use / required update
October 6 Fact Packet September 27 edition	Keep the original PDF and editable DOCX. Pair with this dated addendum; old branding, old agenda-check date and unverified current-policy wording are not fresh findings. Use pages 1-4 of this addendum as the compact Council front section.
52-question Council tracker	Retain stable C1/C2/C3 IDs and response history. Map page 3 priorities to existing rows, not new duplicate questions.
Master Operations Tracker FINAL 2026-09-29	Six technical dossiers are marked Done: power; fiber; water/wastewater; roads; fire/HazMat; noise/lighting. Reuse as topical references. Completion of a dossier does not mean the underlying questions are answered.
Eight-slide briefing / social assets / QR	Reuse existing layouts. Review dates, DCAP identity and claim/source labels. Use the current QR destination in R4, not the legacy URL still present in the case page's URL field. Decode final printed QR and test public access before bulk printing.
REQ-001 and targeted follow-ups	Retain request and tracking IDs. Check production and sent receipts before following up; do not resubmit the original.

Assignments and release sequence (proposed roles)

Today, Wed Sep 30 - records lead: check REQ-001 response; log unresolved exhibits. **Access lead:** communicate tomorrow's 9 AM interpreter-request deadline. No emails or requests have been sent by this packet assembly.

When the agenda posts - Council lead: save agenda and full packet, record item/title/page, proposed action, participation instructions and amendments. Update only affected text in this addendum and existing designs. Do not advertise a confirmed vote until the official agenda supports it.

Oct 1-5 - neighborhood leads: prioritize Silver Peaks, then Lochbuie and Jacob's Run outreach as separate distribution areas. Use page 5 and current existing English/Spanish assets after wording and date review. Obtain venue/group permission where needed; do not imply HOA or school endorsement. Track area, language, quantity, volunteer and date privately.

Oct 5 and Oct 6 - Council/print leads: recheck changes, venue and links; verify source excerpts and QR. Prepare identical Council sets for all nine members plus Clerk/record copy; confirm Clerk preferences. After submission, retain the exact delivered version, receipt/time, public remarks and any written response.

Internal records used (clickable)

R1 | [Case 001 - regulatory status verified September 29](#)

R2 | [14-Day Action Room - October 6 priority](#)

R3 | [REQ-001 - current submission and follow-up record](#)

R4 | [QR + Visual Rules - September 29](#)

Internal record links may require access. Public distribution should use the public evidence index and official sources, not private workspace links. No new deck, website, flyer design, QR image or duplicate tracker was created.